Contents lists available at ScienceDirect









journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foreco

Roost selection by male northern long-eared bats (*Myotis septentrionalis*) in a managed fire-adapted forest



Jesse M. Alston^{a,b,*}, Ian M. Abernethy^b, Douglas A. Keinath^c, Jacob R. Goheen^d

^a Program in Ecology, Department of Zoology and Physiology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

^b Wyoming Natural Diversity Database, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

^c Wyoming Ecological Services Field Office, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Cheyenne, WY 82009, USA

^d Department of Zoology and Physiology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Black hills Chiroptera Forest management Habitat use Prescribed fire Ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) Radiotelemetry

ABSTRACT

Wildlife conservation in multi-use landscapes requires identifying and conserving critical resources that may otherwise be destroyed or degraded by human activity. Summer day-roost sites are critical resources for bats, so conserving roost sites is a focus of many bat conservation plans. Studies quantifying day-roost characteristics typically focus on female bats due to their much stronger influence on reproductive success, but large areas of species' ranges can be occupied predominantly by male bats due to sexual segregation. We used VHF telemetry to identify and characterize summer day-roost selection by male northern long-eared bats (Myotis septentrionalis) in a ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) forest in South Dakota, USA. We tracked 18 bats to 43 tree roosts and used an information-theoretic approach to determine the relative importance of tree- and plot-level characteristics for roost site selection. Bats selected roost trees that were larger in diameter, more decayed, and under denser canopy than other trees available on the landscape. Much like studies of female northern long-eared bats have shown, protecting large-diameter snags within intact forest is important for the conservation of male northern long-eared bats. Unlike female-specific studies, however, many roosts in our study (39.5%) were located in short $(\leq 3 \text{ m})$ snags. Protecting short snags may be a low-risk, high-reward strategy for conservation of resources important to male northern long-eared bats. Other tree-roosting bat species in fire-prone forests may benefit from forest management practices that promote these tree characteristics, particularly in high-elevation areas where populations largely consist of males.

1. Introduction

Habitat degradation by humans is a leading cause of extinction and population declines of species globally (Dobson et al., 1997; Halpern et al., 2008; Hansen et al., 2013). Less than 15% of Earth's land surface falls within a protected area, and less than half of that area is free from human development, agriculture, livestock grazing, light pollution, and transportation infrastructure (Jones et al., 2018). Even in relatively intact ecosystems, land uses other than conservation of nature—such as wildfire prevention, livestock grazing, recreation, and extraction of timber and other forest products—are the norm rather than the exception. Conservation measures targeting these multi-use landscapes are thus vital for conserving species (Kremen and Merenlender, 2018).

In multi-use landscapes, successful conservation often requires the identification of critical resources for species of conservation concern so that the supply of those critical resources can be maintained or increased. Day-roosts appear to be critical resources for many bats, providing shelter from predators and environmental stressors (Fenton et al., 1994; Solick and Barclay, 2006), communal sites for social interactions (Willis and Brigham, 2004), and secure places to raise young (Kunz, 1982). Bats spend most of their time in day-roosts, alone or in groups of up to millions of individuals, depending on sex, species, and reproductive status. Patterns of bat abundance and distribution are correlated with roost availability (Humphrey, 1975), and declines in reproductive success have been documented when pregnant or lactating bats are experimentally excluded from preferred roosts (Brigham and Fenton, 1986). Because day-roosts are so important for bats, measures to conserve roosts feature prominently in bat conservation plans. Resource managers seeking to conserve bats while managing landscapes for multiple uses benefit from knowledge that promotes bat roost conservation.

We evaluated day-roost selection by male northern long-eared bats

* Corresponding author at: Program in Ecology, Department of Zoology and Physiology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA. *E-mail address:* jalston@uwyo.edu (J.M. Alston).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.05.034 Received 9 March 2019; Received in revised form 11 May 2019; Accepted 13 May 2019 Available online 22 May 2019 0378-1127/ © 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. (*Myotis septentrionalis*) in a ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) forest in the Black Hills of South Dakota, USA. Our study population inhabits a managed fire-adapted forest at the western edge of this species' range. Northern long-eared bats inhabit much of the eastern United States and southern Canada (Caceres and Barclay, 2000), but are increasingly threatened by white nose syndrome and have been protected in the United States under the Endangered Species Act since 2015 and in Canada under the Species at Risk Act since 2014. Throughout their range, northern long-eared bats roost almost exclusively in tree cavities and under sloughing bark within intact forest (Lacki et al., 2009), and forage within forests or at forest edges (Henderson and Broders, 2008; Owen et al., 2003; Patriquin and Barclay, 2003).

At our study site and other high-elevation areas in the Black Hills, male bats are much more common than females (Choate and Anderson, 1997; Cryan et al., 2000). Sexual segregation driven by elevation or temperature is widespread among bats, and is believed to be driven by differences in energy requirements that allow males to inhabit areas that are colder or have less prey (Barclay, 1991; Ford et al., 2002; Senior et al., 2005). Male northern long-eared bats are therefore likely to occupy substantially different habitat than females, but range-wide conservation for the species is informed predominantly by studies focusing on female bats (J. Alston, unpublished data). Forest managers in male-dominated areas may therefore rely on incomplete information to conserve the majority of bats within their jurisdictions. Our study provides managers in such areas with information to appropriately guide management in male-dominated areas and supplement the existing wealth of information on female habitat use.

To evaluate factors driving roost selection, we tracked adult male northern long-eared bats to day-roosts and quantified characteristics of both used and available roost trees using variables easily measured by forest and wildlife managers. We evaluated these data using an information-theoretic approach to select the best models from a suite of candidate models. We hypothesized that in this managed forest, bats primarily select roost trees with characteristics that promote cavity formation (e.g., tree size and amount of decay), the number of nearby roosts (e.g., plot-level tree and snag density), and thermal characteristics suitable for behavioral thermoregulation (e.g., canopy cover and orientation in relation to sunlight).

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

We conducted our study during the summers of 2017 and 2018 on Jewel Cave National Monument (43°45′N, 103°45′W) and surrounding areas of Black Hills National Forest, 16 km west of Custer, South Dakota, USA. In this area, mean monthly summer high temperatures range between 22 and 27 °C and mean monthly summer precipitation ranges between 60 and 80 mm (Western Regional Climate Center, 2018). Open ponderosa pine forests dominate our study site, with Rocky Mountain juniper (*Juniperus scopulorum*) and quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) occurring locally. In our local study area, forests form a heterogenous mosaic with northern mixed-grass prairie where a large stand-replacing fire occurred in 2000. A large cave system and several smaller caves lie underground at our study site, and there is substantial topographic relief on the landscape in the form of intersecting canyon systems and rock outcrops.

Forests in this landscape are intensively managed. Black Hills National Forest typically uses even-aged management techniques other than clear-cutting (e.g., two-step shelterwood harvest). Stand harvest rotations are 120 years on average, but selective cutting occurs at 10- to 20-year intervals to harvest mature trees and thin the understory. Aside from large severe wildfires, the forest self-regenerates and does not require planting. Forest management on private lands generally also follow this formula but thinning intervals vary (B. Phillips, personal communication). Forests on Jewel Cave National Monument are managed for resource preservation, primarily using prescribed fire.

2.2. Capture and VHF telemetry

We used mist nets to capture bats over permanent and semi-permanent water sources (e.g., springs, stock tanks, and stock ponds). In summer (Jun-Aug) 2017 and 2018, we netted 20 and 49 nights at 15 water sources. Mist netting sites were distributed throughout our study area, and all were in or near large burned areas and harvested areas. We opened mist nets at civil sunset and closed them after five hours and during inclement weather. We affixed VHF transmitters (0.28 g LB-2X model – Holohil Systems Ltd., Carp. ON, Canada; 0.25 g model – Blackburn, Nacogdoches, TX, USA) between the scapulae of adult male northern long-eared bats with latex surgical adhesive (Osto-Bond, Montreal Ostomy, Montreal, QC, Canada). In our study area and others in the region (Cryan et al., 2000), sex ratios are overwhelmingly male. Because patterns of roost selection can differ between male and female bats (Boland et al., 2009; Elmore et al., 2004; Hein et al., 2008; Perry and Thill, 2007), we targeted males specifically. Additionally, the roosting habits of male bats are less studied than those of females-only 2 of the 14 peer-reviewed studies on roost selection of northern longeared bats provide data on males, and 11 out of 111 peer-reviewed studies on roost selection of cavity-roosting bats in general provide data on males (J. Alston, unpublished data). All transmitters weighed < 5% of the mass of the bat (Aldridge and Brigham, 1988). We tracked bats to roosts each day transmitters were active using handheld VHF receivers (R-1000 model, Communication Specialists Inc., Orange, CA, USA) equipped with flexible H antennae (RA-23K model, Telonics Inc., Mesa, AZ, USA). All tracking was conducted on foot. All protocols were approved by the University of Wyoming and National Park Service Animal Care and Use Committees and met guidelines approved by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes et al., 2016).

2.3. Roost characterization

To characterize roosts, we collected data for each roost and randomly sampled available roost trees in our study area. We identified available roost trees by generating a sample of 200 random points within 2.53 km (the farthest distance we located a bat roosting from its capture site during our study) of sites where we captured northern longeared bats and selecting the nearest available roost tree at a random bearing from each point. We therefore compared used roosts to 200 available roosts. We defined available roost trees as live trees > 20 cm in diameter or any dead tree with a visible defect (e.g., sloughing bark or cavities) sufficiently large for a bat to roost within. For each tree and plot, we measured characteristics that may influence roost suitability (Table 1; Table A.1). We measured vegetation characteristics at two spatial scales: (1) individual trees, and (2) a 706.86-m² (15-m radius) plot around the tree. We also measured topographic variables at the plot scale.

2.4. Statistical analysis

To quantify differences between roost trees used by northern longeared bats and the 200 randomly sampled available roost trees, we used the R statistical software environment (R Core Team, 2018) to build binomial-family generalized linear models. Because we were unable to confirm that available roost trees were never used by bats, our analyses should be interpreted within the context of the use-availability resource selection framework (Beyer et al., 2010; Johnson et al., 2006; Manly et al., 2007). We employed an information-theoretic approach using Akaike's Information Criterion adjusted for small sample sizes (AIC_c) to compare competing models (Burnham and Anderson, 2002) using the 'MuMIn' package (Barton, 2018). We calculated AIC_c values and model weights (w_i) for all possible combinations of a maximum of 8 predictors (one variable for each 5 observations) in our set of candidate models to

Table 1

Variables measured at used and available summer day-roosts of male northern long-eared bats (Myotis septentrionalis) in the Black Hills of South Dakota, 2017–2018.

Variable	Definition
DBH	Tree diameter at breast height (cm); measured with a diameter tape
Height	Tree height (m); measured with an electronic clinometer
Snag	Tree status (live/dead)
Decay Class	Stage of tree decay on ordinal scale from 1 to 9; higher values denote more decay (sensu Maser et al., 1979)
Bark Remaining	Bark remaining on tree trunk (%); estimated visually
Canopy Cover	Average of 4 canopy cover measurements (N/E/S/W) taken 5 m from tree (%); measured with a convex spherical densiometer
Slope	Slope of 706.9-m ² (15-m radius) plot centered at tree (%); measured with an electronic clinometer
Tree Density	Number of live trees in 706.9-m ² plot centered at tree
Snag Density	Number of snags in 706.9-m ² plot centered at tree
Eastness	Difference between aspect of 706.9-m ² plot centered at tree and 90° (°); measured with a compass
Southness	Difference between aspect of 706.9-m ² plot centered at tree and 180° (°); measured with a compass
Slope*Eastness	Interaction term between slope and eastness
Slope*Southness	Interaction term between slope and southness

prevent biased coefficient estimates and unreliable confidence interval coverage (Vittinghoff and McCulloch, 2007). Predictors with variance inflation factors (VIFs) > 10 were removed from consideration in our global model to reduce problems associated with multicollinearity (Kutner et al., 2005). Because no model had a w_i > 0.90, we averaged model coefficients for all models with cumulative w_i > 0.95 using the full-averaging method to obtain a final averaged model (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). Finally, we validated our averaged model using area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC; Manel et al., 2001; Swets, 1988).

3. Results

We located 2.4 \pm 0.3 (range: 1–5) roost trees per bat during our study, for a total of 44 roosts used on 59 days by 18 bats. Aside from one roost in a rock crevice, bats roosted exclusively in ponderosa pines, either in cavities or under loose bark. Thirty-six out of 43 tree roosts (83.7%) occurred in dead trees (hereafter termed "snags"). Seventeen of 43 (39.5%) roosts that we located occurred in broken-off snags \leq 3 m in height. Bats typically roosted in the same patch of contiguous forest for the active life of the transmitter. Bats roosted 790 \pm 90 m (range: 55–2,530 m) from the sites at which they were captured.

Our global model distinguishing used roost trees from available roost trees incorporated DBH, tree height, decay class, slope, aspect (split into two components-eastness and southness), percent bark remaining, plot tree density, plot snag density, plot canopy cover, and interaction terms between slope and eastness and slope and southness. The snag variable was removed from consideration so that no variable in the global model had a VIF > 10. The global model provided an adequate fit to the data (le Cessie-van Houwelingen-Copas-Hosmer global goodness of fit test; z = 0.805, p = 0.421). Our averaged model (incorporating 104 models in our confidence set; Table A.2) indicated that DBH, decay class, and canopy cover were important variables (Table 2). Significant (p < 0.05) averaged model coefficients, confidence intervals, and scaled and unscaled odds ratios are reported in Table 3. Mean differences between used and available roost trees among our variables of interest are reported in Table 4. Predictive performance of the averaged model was very high (AUC = 0.924).

Three variables (DBH, decay class, and canopy cover) were positively related to roost selection (Fig. 1; Table 2). For each 5 cm increase in DBH, odds of selection increased by 61% (95% CI: 21–113%). Use was greater than availability at all diameters > 37 cm. For each 1 unit increase in decay class, odds of selection increased by 111% (95% CI: 47–203%). Use was generally greater than availability for decay classes > 2. For each additional 10% increase in canopy cover, the odds of selection increased by 126% (95% CI: 55–230%). Use was greater than availability at all canopy cover levels > 19%.

Table 2

Coefficient estimates in the averaged model and 95% confidence intervals. Bo	ld
variables denote significance at $\alpha = 0.05$.	

Variable	Estimate	LCL (95%)	UCL (95%)
Height	0.0133	-0.0767	0.1033
DBH	0.0948	0.0382	0.1514
Decay Class	0.7465	0.3835	1.1094
Bark Remaining	0.0033	-0.0113	0.0180
Snag Density	0.1010	-0.0039	0.2059
Tree Density	-0.0182	-0.0653	0.0289
Canopy Cover	0.0816	0.0438	0.1195
Slope	0.0323	-0.0354	0.0999
Eastness	-0.0069	-0.0207	0.0068
Southness	0.0004	-0.0041	0.0050
Slope*Eastness	0.0001	-0.0004	0.0005
Slope*Southness	0.0000	-0.0002	0.0002

4. Discussion

Male northern long-eared bats primarily selected roosts in trees with characteristics that promote cavity formation. At the level of individual trees, bats selected for large-diameter trees with substantial decay. This corroborates previous work on northern long-eared bats (Jung et al., 2004; Rojas et al., 2017) and is intuitive because large trees with more decay have more roost structures (i.e., cavities and loose bark) for bats to use (Reynolds et al., 1985). This is particularly true of ponderosa pines, which can produce large amounts of resin to defend against physical injury (Kane and Kolb, 2010; Lewinsohn et al., 1991) and therefore tend to develop cavities only when they are scarred or dead. In intensively managed landscapes like the Black Hills, cavities are found overwhelmingly in snags because most trees are harvested before they reach ages at which cavities typically form.

Conservation actions targeting male northern long-eared bats should include preservation of large snags whenever possible. Our study demonstrated that male northern long-eared bats select largediameter snags (> 37 cm), and large-diameter snags also tend to remain standing longer than thinner snags (Bull, 1983; Chambers and Mast, 2014). These large-diameter snags need not be tall—short ($\leq 3 \text{ m}$) snags are important resources for male northern long-eared bats as well. Seventeen of 43 (39.5%) roosts that we located occurred in broken-off snags $\leq 3 \text{ m}$ in height. These are important resources and are likely more vulnerable to loss during forest management activities (particularly prescribed fire) than other potential roost trees. Snags are often intentionally removed during forest management activities because of hazards posed to forest management personnel (e.g., loggers and firefighters) and the general public. However, these short snags pose less danger to forest management personnel and the public than taller snags, and their preservation is therefore a realistic and actionable step toward bat conservation.

Table 3

Averaged model coefficients, scaled and unscaled odds ratios (OR), and scaled lower and upper confidence limits (UCL/LCL) for significant variables.

Variable	Coefficient	Unscaled OR	Scaled OR	Units	Scaled OR LCL (95%)	Scaled OR UCL (95%)
DBH	0.0948	1.0995	1.6065	5 cm	1.2105	2.1321
Decay Class	0.7465	2.1095	2.1095	1 unit	1.4674	3.0327
Canopy Cover	0.0816	1.0850	2.2619	10%	1.5491	3.3025

Table 4

Means and standard errors for variables of interest among used and available trees. Bold font denotes statistically significant variables in the final averaged model.

	Roost		Available	
Variable	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Height (m)	8.53	1.11	9.01	0.43
DBH (cm)	35.69	1.57	30.33	0.69
Decay Class	4.95	0.33	3.72	0.18
Bark Remaining (%)	74.19	4.22	69.73	2.49
Snag Density	4.74	1.03	2.12	0.23
Tree Density	19.84	2.15	10.76	1.12
Canopy Cover (%)	36.83	3.02	14.96	1.39
Slope (%)	16.87	1.62	11.66	0.64
Eastness (°)	76.36	8.21	93.35	3.81
Southness (°)	109.48	11.14	96.58	5.48

Of the variables we considered that may influence thermal characteristics of roosts, only canopy cover influenced roost selection significantly. Trees were more likely to be used as roosts as surrounding canopy cover increased, and use was greater than availability at all canopy cover levels > 19%. Although many snags were available within our study area in open areas burned by a severe wildfire in 2000, bats in our study rarely used those snags, instead selecting snags in the interior of forest stands with live canopy. Forty out of 43 (93.0%) roosts were within intact forest stands with live canopy, and all roosts were within 50 m of intact forest stands. Bats may prefer these areas because canopy cover creates cooler environments, but they may also simply prefer to be immediately near forested areas where they forage (Henderson and Broders, 2008; Owen et al., 2003; Patriquin and Barclay, 2003). Either way, stand-replacing fire likely poses risks to local populations of northern long-eared bats at the western edge of its range, where severe wildfire is increasingly prevalent due to climate change (Westerling et al., 2006). Clearcutting also poses risks to local populations of northern long-eared bats in these areas, even if snags are retained. Selective logging that leaves some level of canopy cover remaining would ensure that snag retention is effective for bat roost conservation.

Dvnamics of regional disturbance may be important when evaluating local-scale factors that influence roost selection (O'Keefe and Loeb, 2017). The ponderosa-dominated landscape where we conducted our research is substantially different than other landscapes (i.e., deciduous and mixed forests in eastern North America) where roost selection by northern long-eared bats has been studied. Although many of the factors driving roost selection appear to be similar among areas, the processes that create roosts may be fundamentally different in different areas. Snags in ponderosa pine forests are often generated in large pulses by severe wildfire and mountain pine beetles (Dendroctonus ponderosae), but the long-term ramifications of these resource pulses for bats are not well understood. Severe wildfire appears to create snags that are largely unused by bats. Mountain pine beetle outbreaks may do the same if beetle-induced mortality reduces or eliminates canopy cover over large areas, or if outbreaks lead to more severe fires. Bats may instead depend on snag-generating processes that operate at more local scales and over longer intervals to create suitable roosts.

Roost selection by bats varies by sex, age class, and reproductive condition (Elmore et al., 2004; Hein et al., 2008). Studies on roost selection generally focus on females because they tend to drive reproduction, which is required to sustain populations. However, targeting roost conservation toward females exclusively may neglect resources that are important for males. Because sex ratios can be heavily biased in some areas (Cryan et al., 2000), ignoring the needs of males could leave resources that are important for most individuals inhabiting these areas unprotected. On the other hand, designing roost conservation measures on studies of males alone will leave resources that are important for females unprotected. For example, short ($\leq 3 \text{ m}$) snags are important resources for males, but they may not be for females, which aggregate in maternity colonies that may contain over one hundred individuals and require larger cavities than largely solitary males (Perry and Thill, 2007). Resource managers seeking to conserve bats should take these sex differences into account when developing conservation plans and designing studies to inform those plans. In highelevation areas, males may be more important than females for sustaining local populations because there are few females in those areas.



Fig. 1. Unscaled odds ratios associated with each variable in the averaged roost selection model. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

5. Conclusions

Forest managers require actionable knowledge to guide conservation, and our results indicate that conserving large-diameter snags within intact forest stands is one such action that can be taken to conserve male northern long-eared bats in wildfire-prone coniferous forests. Short (≤ 3 m) snags in particular represent a low-risk, high-reward resource to target for preservation in male-biased, high-elevation populations of this species. For federally threatened northern longeared bats, conserving these snags at the western edge of their range may prevent range contraction and local extinction. Similar patterns may hold true for other cavity-roosting bat species in wildfire-prone coniferous forests, like those found throughout western North America. Further study on roost selection by male bats represents an underappreciated conservation research opportunity that may be particularly valuable for high-elevation bat populations. Although bats face danger from many threats unrelated to roosts (e.g., white nose syndrome, wind energy development, etc.), roost conservation remains an important tool for bat conservation in the face of such threats.

Data availability statement

Data and R code used in analysis have been archived on *Zenodo*. They can be located using the following link: https://zenodo.org/record/2727206#.XNY-iKR7k2w.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to L. Boodoo, C. McFarland, E. Greene, B. Tabor, and B. Phillips for help with fieldwork; J. Rick for helpful comments on presubmission versions of this manuscript; R. Anderson-Sprecher for helpful comments concerning statistical analyses; and P. Ortegon, D. Licht, M. Wiles, D. Austin, B. Phillips, and E. Thomas for their logistical support of this project. Research funding was provided by the National Park Service, the Department of Zoology and Physiology at the University of Wyoming, the Berry Ecology Center, the American Society of Mammalogists, Prairie Biotic Research, Inc., and the Wyoming Chapter of The Wildlife Society. The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Park Service.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.05.034.

References

- Aldridge, H.D.J.N., Brigham, R.M., 1988. Load carrying and maneuverability in an insectivorous bat: a test of the 5% "rule" of radio-telemetry. J. Mammal. 69, 379–382. https://doi.org/10.2307/1381393.
- Barclay, R.M.R., 1991. Population structure of temperate zone insectivorous bats in relation to foraging behaviour and energy demand. J. Anim. Ecol. 60, 165–178. https:// doi.org/10.2307/5452.
- Barton, K., 2018. MuMIn: multi-model inference.
- Beyer, H.L., Haydon, Daniel T., Morales, Juan M., Frair, Jacqueline L., Mark, Hebblewhite, Michael, Mitchell, Jason, Matthiopoulos, 2010. The interpretation of habitat preference metrics under use–availability designs. Philosoph. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 365, 2245–2254. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0083.
- Boland, J.L., Hayes, J.P., Smith, W.P., Huso, M.M., 2009. Selection of day-roosts by Keen's myotis (*Myotis keenii*) at multiple spatial scales. J. Mammal. 90, 222–234. https:// doi.org/10.1644/07-MAMM-A-369.1.
- Brigham, R.M., Fenton, M.B., 1986. The influence of roost closure on the roosting and foraging behaviour of Eptesicus fuscus (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). Can. J. Zool. 64, 1128–1133. https://doi.org/10.1139/z86-169.
- Bull, E.L., 1983. Longevity of snags and their use by woodpeckers (General Technical Report No. GTR-RM-99), Proceedings of the Symposium: Snag Habitat Management. Rocky Mountain Research Station, Forest Service, US Department of Agriculture, Fort Collins, CO.
- Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach, second ed. Springer, New York.

Caceres, M.C., Barclay, R.M.R., 2000. Myotis septentrionalis. Mammalian Species 1-4.

- Chambers, C.L., Mast, J.N., 2014. Snag dynamics and cavity excavation after bark beetle outbreaks in southwestern ponderosa pine forests. For. Sci. 60, 713–723. https://doi.org/10.5849/forsci.13-018.
- Choate, J.R., Anderson, J.M., 1997. The bats of Jewel Cave National Monument, South Dakota. Prairie Natural. 29, 38–47.
- Cryan, P.M., Bogan, M.A., Altenbach, J.S., 2000. Effect of elevation on distribution of female bats in the Black Hills, South Dakota. J. Mammal. 81, 719–725. https://doi. org/10.1644/1545-1542(2000) 081 < 0719:EOEODO > 2.3.CO;2.
- Dobson, A.P., Bradshaw, A.D., Baker, A.J.M., 1997. Hopes for the future: restoration ecology and conservation biology. Science 277, 515–522. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.277.5325.515.
- Elmore, L.W., Miller, D.A., Vilella, F.J., 2004. Selection of diurnal roosts by red bats (*Lasiurus borealis*) in an intensively managed pine forest in Mississippi. For. Ecol. Manage. 199, 11–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.03.045.
- Fenton, M.B., Rautenbach, I.L., Smith, S.E., Swanepoel, C.M., Grosell, J., van Jaarsveld, J., 1994. Raptors and bats: threats and opportunities. Anim. Behav. 48, 9–18. https:// doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1994.1207.
- Ford, W.M., Menzel, M.A., Menzel, J.M., Welch, D.J., 2002. Influence of summer temperature on sex ratios in eastern red bats (*Lasiurus borealis*). Am. Midland Natural. 147, 179–184.
- Halpern, B.S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K.A., Kappel, C.V., Micheli, F., D'Agrosa, C., Bruno, J.F., Casey, K.S., Ebert, C., Fox, H.E., Fujita, R., Heinemann, D., Lenihan, H.S., Madin, E.M.P., Perry, M.T., Selig, E.R., Spalding, M., Steneck, R., Watson, R., 2008. A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems. Science 319, 948–952. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1149345.
- Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S.V., Goetz, S.J., Loveland, T.R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C.O., Townshend, J.R.G., 2013. High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. Science 342, 850–853. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1244693.
- Hein, C.D., Castleberry, S.B., Miller, K.V., 2008. Sex-specific summer roost-site selection by Seminole bats in response to landscape-level forest management. J. Mammal. 89, 964–972. https://doi.org/10.1644/07-MAMM-A-335.1.
- Henderson, L.E., Broders, H.G., 2008. Movements and resource selection of the northern long-eared myotis (*Myotis septentrionalis*) in a forest—agriculture landscape. J. Mammal. 89, 952–963. https://doi.org/10.1644/07-MAMM-A-214.1.
- Humphrey, S.R., 1975. Nursery roosts and community diversity of Nearctic bats. J. Mammal. 56, 321–346. https://doi.org/10.2307/1379364.
- Johnson, C.J., Nielsen, S.E., Merrill, E.H., McDonald, T.L., Boyce, M.S., 2006. Resource selection functions based on use-availability data: theoretical motivation and evaluation methods. J. Wildl. Manage. 70, 347–357. https://doi.org/10.2193/0022-541X(2006) 701347:RSFBOUI2.0.CO:2.
- Jones, K.R., Venter, O., Fuller, R.A., Allan, J.R., Maxwell, S.L., Negret, P.J., Watson, J.E.M., 2018. One-third of global protected land is under intense human pressure. Science 360, 788–791. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9565.
- Jung, T.S., Thompson, I.D., Titman, R.D., 2004. Roost site selection by forest-dwelling male Myotis in central Ontario, Canada. For. Ecol. Manage. 202, 325–335. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.07.043.
- Kane, J.M., Kolb, T.E., 2010. Importance of resin ducts in reducing ponderosa pine mortality from bark beetle attack. Oecologia 164, 601–609. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00442-010-1683-4.
- Kremen, C., Merenlender, A.M., 2018. Landscapes that work for biodiversity and people. Science 362, eaau6020. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau6020.
- Kunz, T.H., 1982. Roosting ecology of bats. In: Kunz, T.H. (Ed.), Ecology of Bats. Springer, US, Boston, MA, pp. 1–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-3421-7_1.
- Kutner, M.H., Nachtsheim, C.J., Neter, J., Li, W., 2005. Applied Linear Statistical Models, fifth ed. The McGraw-Hill/Irwin Series Operations and Decision Sciences, McGraw-Hill Irwin, Boston.
- Lacki, M.J., Cox, D.R., Dickinson, M.B., 2009. Meta-analysis of summer roosting characteristics of two species of Myotis bats. Am. Midland Natural. 162, 318–326.
- Lewinsohn, E., Gijzen, M., Croteau, R., 1991. Defense mechanisms of conifers: differences in constitutive and wound-induced monoterpene biosynthesis among species. Plant Physiol. 96, 44–49. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.96.1.44.
- Manel, S., Williams, H.C., Ormerod, S.J., 2001. Evaluating presence–absence models in ecology: the need to account for prevalence. J. Appl. Ecol. 38, 921–931. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2001.00647.x.
- Manly, B.F.L., McDonald, L., Thomas, D.L., McDonald, T.L., Erickson, W.P., 2007. Resource Selection by Animals: Statistical Design and Analysis for Field Studies. Springer Science & Business Media.
- O'Keefe, J.M., Loeb, S.C., 2017. Indiana bats roost in ephemeral, fire-dependent pine snags in the southern Appalachian Mountains, USA. For. Ecol. Manage. 391, 264–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.01.036.
- Owen, S.F., Menzel, M.A., Ford, W.M., Chapman, B.R., Miller, K.V., Edwards, J.W., Wood, P.B., 2003. Home-range size and habitat used by the northern myotis (*Myotis septentrionalis*). Am. Midland Natural. 150, 352–359. https://doi.org/10.1674/0003-0031(2003) 150[0352:HSAHUB]2.0.CO;2.
- Patriquin, K.J., Barclay, R.M.R., 2003. Foraging by bats in cleared, thinned and unharvested boreal forest. J. Appl. Ecol. 40, 646–657. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2003.00831.x.
- Perry, R.W., Thill, R.E., 2007. Roost selection by male and female northern long-eared bats in a pine-dominated landscape. For. Ecol. Manage. 247, 220–226. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.04.041.
- Core Team, R., 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Reynolds, R.T., Linkhart, B.D., Jeanson, J., 1985. Characteristics of snags and trees

containing cavities in a Colorado conifer forest (USDA Forest Service Research Note No. RM-455). Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO.

- Rojas, V.G., O'Keefe, J.M., Loeb, S.C., 2017. Baseline capture rates and roosting habits of *Myotis septentrionalis* (northern long-eared bat) prior to White-Nose Syndrome detection in the Southern Appalachians. Southeast. Nat. 16, 140–148. https://doi.org/ 10.1656/058.016.0202.
- Senior, P., Butlin, R.K., Altringham, J.D., 2005. Sex and segregation in temperate bats. Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 272, 2467–2473. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3237.
- Sikes, R.S., the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists, 2016. 2016 guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research and education. J. Mammal. 97, 663–688. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyw078.
- Solick, D.I., Barclay, R.M.R., 2006. Thermoregulation and roosting behaviour of reproductive and nonreproductive female western long-eared bats (*Myotis evotis*) in the

Rocky Mountains of Alberta. Can. J. Zool. 84, 589–599. https://doi.org/10.1139/z06-028.

- Swets, J.A., 1988. Measuring the accuracy of diagnostic systems. Science 240, 1285–1293. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3287615.
- Vittinghoff, E., McCulloch, C.E., 2007. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic and Cox regression. Am. J. Epidemiol. 165, 710–718. https://doi.org/10. 1093/aje/kwk052.
- Westerling, A.L., Hidalgo, H.G., Cayan, D.R., Swetnam, T.W., 2006. Warming and earlier spring increase western U.S. forest wildfire activity. Science 313, 940–943. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1128834.
- Western Regional Climate Center, 2018. NCDC 1981-2010 Monthly Normals: Custer, SD. URL https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?sd2087.
- Willis, C.K.R., Brigham, R.M., 2004. Roost switching, roost sharing and social cohesion: forest-dwelling big brown bats, *Eptesicus fuscus*, conform to the fission-fusion model. Anim. Behav. 68, 495–505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.08.028.